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# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

|  |
| --- |
| **Medicine:**  **Indication:**  **Research question:**  **Patient population:**  **Level of Care:**  **Prescriber level:**  **Current Standard of Care/ Comparator(s):**  **Methods:**  **Findings:**  **Recommendations:**  **Reviewers:**  **PTC affiliation:**  **Disclosures:**  **Funding support:** |
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**AFFILIATION AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

Potential conflict of interest statement included here.

Official form should be completed and sent to EDP prior to undertaking the review.

# INTRODUCTION

Brief description of the following:

* Rationale for why this type of analysis has been selected
* Brief description of the condition, the current care pathway and treatment alternatives already available in the South African public health sector (reference Standard Treatment Guidelines and other Department of Health clinical guidelines, if relevant).

# methods used to Identify economic evaluations

Brief outline of:

* database search strategy (e.g. date of search, search terms, databases searched, limits applied), number of records found (search output), and methods used for selection of studies (e.g. single/double screening).
* grey literature search (e.g. date of search, search terms, websites/registries searched, limits applied) and methods used for selection of evidence

Provide details of all included studies.

# Methods used to appraise economic evaluations

Appraise published economic evaluations

Use Critical Appraisal checklist by Drummond et al[[1]](#footnote-1)

Provide a summary of the quality of the economic evaluations and HTA reports here, with appraisal details/assessments provided in appendices.

# Methods used to assess applicability of economic evaluations

Context and methodological applicability checklists are provided in the HTA Methods Guide.

Provide a summary of the context and methodological applicability of the economic evaluations and HTA reports here, with more detailed information provided in appendices.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name of study/ record | Context applicability score | Notes | Methodological applicability score | Notes |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

# Results

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | HTA report 1 | HTA report 2 | Add more columns if needed |
| Country + HTA agency |  |  |  |
| Year |  |  |  |
| Indication |  |  |  |
| Intervention |  |  |  |
| Comparator |  |  |  |
| Modelling approach |  |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Major areas of uncertainty |  |  |  |
| Ethical, social, legal issues |  |  |  |
| Recommendation |  |  |  |
| Context applicability score /6 |  |  |  |
| Methods applicability score /6 |  |  |  |

**Summary table: HTA reports**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Economic evaluation 1 | Economic evaluation 2 | Add more columns if needed |
| Author |  |  |  |
| Year |  |  |  |
| Context (country and health system) |  |  |  |
| Indication |  |  |  |
| Intervention |  |  |  |
| Comparator |  |  |  |
| Economic evaluation type |  |  |  |
| Modelling approach |  |  |  |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Major areas of uncertainty |  |  |  |
| Critical appraisal score /10 |  |  |  |
| Context applicability score /6 |  |  |  |
| Methods applicability score /6 |  |  |  |

**Summary Table: Published economic evaluations**

# Interpretation of the results

# 

# References

*Vancouver style format.*

# Appendix 1:PRISMA diagram

Indicate studies excluded at study identification, appraisal and applicability stages separately.

1. Drummond M.F., Sculpher, M.J., Claxton K. SGL and TG. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. Oxford University Press; 2015. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)